STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER CONTINUING CASE DEADLINES

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION by and between Plaintiff State Compensation Insurance Fund ("State Fund"), on the one hand, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (successor by merger to Defendant Wachovia Bank, N.A.) and Defendant Metropolitan West Securities LLC (collectively, "Defendants"), on the other hand:

WHEREAS, both parties have determined that they need to re-collect and produce certain electronic records, and that this process will delay completion of many depositions;

WHEREAS, State Fund recently determined that it needs to conduct further electronic data searches to address certain issues and complete its production, including: error in the search of one custodian's data such that many e-mails were blank or did not have attachments; the need to search a separate data location for one custodian's e-mails; the need to search electronic folders that were maintained by certain custodians for relevant e-mails that may not have been retrieved by prior searches; and the need to search "my documents" folders that were maintained by certain custodians for relevant documents that may not have been retrieved by prior searches;

WHEREAS, State Fund has commenced retrieval of the additional data, and on a "rolling" basis will remove duplication from prior searches, search and review the remaining data and produce additional documents as appropriate;

WHEREAS, State Fund anticipates that there will be significant duplication of prior data retrieved and searched, but cannot be certain as to the potential volume of new documents;

WHEREAS, State Fund is uncertain as to the time needed to complete the further search and production, but estimates it will be able to do so by September 9, 2011;

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2011, Defendants filed an administrative motion to continue case deadlines because of a recently-discovered malfunction in Defendants' collection of e-mail data which would necessitate the re-collection and review of email just as numerous depositions were set to take place;

WHEREAS, the Court entered an order on July 13, 2011 granting in part Defendants' motion, extending discovery deadlines by approximately 30 days, and (due to the Court's schedule) extending hearing and trial dates by approximately 60 days, such that the schedule is now as follows:

Casse3::09-cv-02959-JSW Document 106 Filed 08/22/11 Page 33 of 55

1	Fact discovery cutoff: September 23, 2011
2	Disclosure of experts and reports: October 7, 2011
3	Disclosure of rebuttal experts and reports: October 28, 2011
4	Expert discovery cutoff: November 18, 2011
5	Completion of mediation: December 19, 2011
6	Dispositive motion hearing date: February 10, 2012 9:00 a.m.
7	Final pretrial conference: April 30, 2012 2:00 p.m.
8	Trial: May 21, 2012 8:00 a.m.
9	WHEREAS, at the time that motion was filed and the order was entered, the quantity of
10	email affected by the malfunction and the volume of additional documents that would need to be
11	reviewed and produced was unknown;
12	WHEREAS, since the Court's order, Defendants have diligently engaged in re-collecting
13	and reviewing the e-mail data affected by the malfunction, to date have reviewed all e-mail for
14	the majority of e-mail custodians, and have so far produced approximately 40,000 pages (8,000
15	documents) of newly-discovered materials;
16	WHEREAS, Defendants continue to re-collect, process, and review e-mail data for several
17	remaining custodians, are endeavoring to complete this process as quickly as possible, and
18	anticipate that there are likely to be substantial additional volumes of documents to be reviewed
19	and produced;
20	WHEREAS, the parties will require time to review the re-collection productions, are still
21	assessing the sufficiency of each other's re-collection efforts, and expect to meet and confer
22	further on these issues;
23	WHEREAS, since the Court's July 13, 2011 order, the parties have taken four previously-
24	scheduled depositions, and continue to work together to identify any deponents whose depositions
25	may safely be scheduled before their re-collections and productions are completed;
26	WHEREAS, a substantial number of depositions (the parties presently anticipate 17) of
27	witnesses likely to be affected by the re-collections and productions remain to be completed
28	before the fact discovery cutoff;

Casse3::09-cv-02959-JSW Document 106 Filed 08/22/11 Page 44 of 55

1	WHEREAS, in light of the volume of documents now known to be affected, the parties
2	believe that the current discovery schedule provides insufficient time to complete retrieval of data
3	and review of the documents affected by their respective re-collection projects and to proceed
4	with the remaining depositions;
5	WHEREAS the parties believe that an additional extension of approximately 70 days for
6	all case schedule deadlines and dates, other than the final pre-trial deadlines and trial dates, is
7	necessary;
8	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE
9	PARTIES HERETO AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD THAT existing discovery and
10	mediation deadlines and the dispositive motion hearing date shall be continued such that the new
11	schedule shall be as follows:
12	Fact discovery cutoff: December 2, 2011
13	Disclosure of experts and reports: December 16, 2011
14	Disclosure of rebuttal experts and reports: January 13, 2012
15	Expert discovery cutoff: February 3, 2012
16	Completion of mediation: February 28, 2012
17	Dispositive motion hearing date: March 16, 2012 9:00 a.m.
18	Final pretrial conference: April 30, 2012 2:00 p.m. May 21, 2012
19	Trial: - May 21, 2012 8:00 a.m. June 11, 2012
20	
21	
22	Date: August 16, 2011 MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
23	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
24	By:/s/ James C. Rutten
25	James C. Rutten
26	Attorneys for WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. (successor by merger to Defendant
27	WACHOVIA BANK, N.A.) and Defendant
28	METROPOLITAN WEST SECURITIES LLC
	3 CASE NO. CV 09-2959 JSW (EDL)

1	
2	Date: August 16, 2011 BARGER & WOLEN LLP
3	Date: August 16, 2011 BARGER & WOLEN LLP
4	By: /s/ J. Russell Stedman
5	J. Russell Stedman
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: AS MODIFIED
11	
12 13	DATED: August 22, 2012
14	The Handyable Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 CASE NO. CV 09-2959 JSW (EDL)

Casse3::09-cv-02959-JSW Document 106 Filed 08/22/11 Page 55 of 155